Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit F2 Tab 5 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 2

COMPARISON OF NUCLEAR FUEL COSTS 1 2 3 1.0 **PURPOSE** 4 This evidence presents period-over-period comparisons of nuclear fuel costs (excluding fuel 5 oil and used nuclear fuel storage and disposal costs) for 2010 - 2015. 6 2.0 7 **OVERVIEW** 8 This evidence supports the approvals sought for the nuclear fuel costs. Exhibit F2-5-2 Table 9 1 sets out the comparison of budget and actual nuclear fuel costs over 2010 - 2015. 10 See Ex. F2-5-1 for a discussion of key drivers associated with nuclear fuel costs. 11 12 3.0 PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES - TEST PERIOD 13 2015 Plan versus 2014 Plan 14 The decrease in 2015 of \$13.2M in nuclear fuel costs is due to lower energy production 15 (\$7.5M) plus lower unit prices for new fuel loaded (\$5.5M) plus higher fuel utilization 16 efficiency (\$0.1M). 17 18 2014 Plan versus 2013 Budget 19 The increase in 2014 of \$4.4.M in nuclear fuel costs is due to higher energy production of (\$7.6M) less lower unit prices for new fuel loaded of (\$2.9M) less higher fuel utilization 20 21 efficiency of (\$0.3M). 22 23 4.0 PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES - BRIDGE YEAR 24 2013 Budget versus 2012 Actual 25 The increase in 2013 of \$5.7M is due to higher unit prices for new fuel loaded (\$6.4M) plus 26 lower fuel utilization efficiency (\$3.2M) less lower energy production \$(3.9M). 27

PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES - HISTORICAL PERIOD

29 **2012** Actual versus 2012 Board Approved

28

5.0

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit F2 Tab 5 Schedule 2 Page 2 of 2

- 1 The 2012 actual costs were \$23.6M lower than the Board approved due to lower energy
- 2 production (\$8.8M including OEB adjustment of \$4.5M) plus lower unit prices for new fuel
- 3 loaded (\$12.7M) plus higher fuel utilization efficiency (\$2.1M).

4 5

2012 Actual versus 2011 Actual

- 6 The increase in 2012 of \$9.9M in nuclear fuel costs is due to higher energy production
- 7 (\$2.3M) plus higher unit prices for new fuel loaded (\$8.5M) less higher fuel utilization
- 8 efficiency (\$0.9M).

9 10

2011 Actual versus 2011 Board Approved

- The 2011 actual costs were \$9.4M lower than the 2011 Board Approved due to lower energy
- production (\$6.0M including OEB adjustment of \$4.5M) plus lower unit prices for new fuel
- loaded (\$2.4M) plus higher fuel utilization efficiency (\$1.1M).

1415

2011 Actual versus 2010 Actual

- The increase in 2011 of \$28.2M in nuclear fuel costs is due to higher energy production
- 17 (\$10.1M) plus higher unit prices for new fuel loaded (\$18.9M) less higher fuel utilization
- 18 efficiency (\$0.8M).

1920

2010 Actual versus. 2010 Budget

- 21 The 2010 Actual were \$1.4M lower than the 2010 Budget due to lower energy production
- 22 (\$1.1M) plus lower unit prices for new fuel loaded (\$0.1M) plus higher fuel utilization
- efficiency (\$0.2M).